



A short proof of a non-vanishing result by Conca, Krattenthaler and Watanabe

Alin Bostan

► To cite this version:

Alin Bostan. A short proof of a non-vanishing result by Conca, Krattenthaler and Watanabe. Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, In press. hal-03216337

HAL Id: hal-03216337

<https://inria.hal.science/hal-03216337>

Submitted on 3 May 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

A short proof of a non-vanishing result by Conca, Krattenthaler and Watanabe

ALIN BOSTAN (*)

In their paper *Regular sequences of symmetric polynomials* [CKW09], Aldo Conca, Christian Krattenthaler and Junzo Watanabe needed to prove, as an intermediate result, the fact that for any $h \geq 1$, the rational number

$$\sum_{b=0}^{\lfloor h/3 \rfloor} \frac{(-1)^{h-b}}{h-b} \binom{h-b}{2b} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^b$$

is non-zero, except for $h = 3$. The proof in [CKW09, Appendix, pp. 190–199] performs a (quite intricate) 3-adic analysis. In this note, we propose a shorter and elementary proof, based on the following observation.

Theorem 1. *For any $h \geq 1$, consider the polynomials*

$$a_h := \sum_{b=0}^{\lfloor h/3 \rfloor} \frac{(-1)^{h-b}}{h-b} \binom{h-b}{2b} U^b \in \mathbb{Q}[U]$$

and $s_h := h \cdot a_h$. Then, the sequence $(s_h)_{h \geq 1}$ satisfies the linear recurrence

$$(1) \quad s_{n+3} + 2s_{n+2} + s_{n+1} = U \cdot s_n, \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1.$$

PROOF. Using $h/(h-b) = 1 + b/(h-b)$ and $2b \cdot \binom{h-b}{2b} = (h-b) \cdot \binom{h-b-1}{2b-1}$ yields the additive decomposition $s_h = p_h + q_h$, where

$$p_h := \sum_{b=0}^{\lfloor h/3 \rfloor} (-1)^{h-b} \binom{h-b}{2b} U^b \quad \text{and} \quad q_h := \frac{1}{2} \cdot \sum_{b=0}^{\lfloor h/3 \rfloor} (-1)^{h-b} \binom{h-b-1}{2b-1} U^b.$$

It is thus enough to prove that both $(p_h)_{h \geq 1}$ and $(q_h)_{h \geq 1}$ satisfy recurrence (1). We prove this for $(p_h)_{h \geq 1}$, the proof for $(q_h)_{h \geq 1}$ being similar. Extracting the coefficient of U^n on both sides of (1) with (s_h) replaced by (p_h) is equivalent to

$$\binom{h+3-n}{2n} - 2 \binom{h+2-n}{2n} + \binom{h+1-n}{2n} = \binom{h+1-n}{2n-2}$$

and this identity is an immediate consequence of the Pascal triangle rule. \square

(*) *Indirizzo dell'A.*: Inria, Université Paris-Saclay, France. E-mail: alin.bostan@inria.fr

Corollary 2. *For any $h \geq 1$, the rational number*

$$\sum_{b=0}^{\lfloor h/3 \rfloor} \frac{(-1)^{h-b}}{h-b} \binom{h-b}{2b} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^b$$

is non-zero, except for $h = 3$.

PROOF. With previous notation, we need to prove that $a_h(2/3) = 0$ if and only if $h = 3$. By Theorem 1, the sequence

$$(u_h)_{h \geq 1} := \left(3^{h-1} \cdot h \cdot a_h(2/3)\right)_{h \geq 1} = (-1, 3, 0, -45, 324, \dots)$$

satisfies the linear recurrence relation

$$(2) \quad u_{n+3} + 6u_{n+2} + 9u_{n+1} = 18u_n, \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1.$$

It is clearly enough to prove that $u_h = 0$ if and only if $h = 3$. First, the terms u_n are all integers, by induction. Recurrence (2) shows that u_{n+3} and u_{n+1} have the same parity for all $n \geq 1$; since $u_2 = 3$, this implies that u_{2n} is an odd integer, and in particular it is nonzero, for all $n \geq 1$. It remains to consider the odd subsequence $(v_h)_{h \geq 1} := (u_{2h-1})_{h \geq 1} = (-1, 0, 324, 5508, 2916, \dots)$. From (2) it follows that the sequence $(v_h)_{h \geq 1}$ satisfies the recurrence relation

$$(3) \quad v_{n+3} - 18v_{n+2} + 297v_{n+1} = 324v_n \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1.$$

The same recurrence is also satisfied with $(v_h)_{h \geq 1}$ replaced by the sequence $(w_h)_{h \geq 1} := (v_h/4)_{h \geq 3} = (81, 1377, 729, -369603, \dots)$. In particular, w_{n+3} and w_{n+1} have the same parity for all $n \geq 1$, hence w_h is odd for any $h \geq 1$. It follows that v_h is nonzero for all $h \geq 3$, which concludes the proof. \square

Remark 3. An equivalent, equally simple, but slightly more “conceptual” proof of Theorem 1 is expressed in terms of generating functions. One starts with the Pascal triangle rule in the equivalent form $\sum_{a,b} \binom{a}{b} U^b z^a = 1/(1-(1+U)z)$, then extracts odd and even parts from it,

$$\sum_{a,b} \binom{a}{2b} U^b z^a = \frac{1-z}{(1-z)^2 - Uz^2}, \quad \sum_{a,b} \binom{a-1}{2b-1} U^b z^a = \frac{Uz^2}{(1-z)^2 - Uz^2},$$

and finally substitutes successively $a \leftarrow h-b$, $z \leftarrow -z$, $U \leftarrow Uz$; this yields

$$\sum_{h \geq 1} s_h z^h = \left(\frac{z+1}{(1+z)^2 - Uz^3} - 1 \right) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{Uz^3}{(1+z)^2 - Uz^3} = \frac{z+1+Uz^3/2}{(1+z)^2 - Uz^3} - 1.$$

Recurrence (1) is now read off the denominator of the last rational function.

Remark 4. We leave it as an open problem to prove that the polynomials $a_h(U)$ and $s_h(U)$ are irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[U]$ for all $h \geq 1$. (We checked this for $h \leq 5000$.) If true, this would imply a generalization of Corollary 2.

REFERENCES

- [CKW09] Aldo Conca, Christian Krattenthaler, and Junzo Watanabe. [Regular sequences of symmetric polynomials](#). *Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova*, 121:179–199, 2009.